



## **Mechanical characterization of ultra-thin coatings**

Dr. Thomas Chudoba

ASMEC Advanced Surface Mechanics GmbH









## Content

- 1) Limits for hardness and modulus measurements
- 2) Accurate determination of tip rounding and area function

## 3) Solutions for ultra thin coatings

- Ultra sharp tips, ultra low forces
- Extrapolation to zero depth
- Eliminating the substrate influence for fully elastic measurements with spheres
- Eliminating the substrate influence for elastoplastic measurements with sharp tips
- Determining yield strength instead of hardness using micro scratch tests





## **Measurement limits for ultrathin coatings**

## Limit 1: Substrate influence











## **Reason for depth limit**

The plastic zone is much larger and deeper than the indent. Therefore the information in the load-displacement curve comes from a depth of up to10 times the indentation depth.





Presentation of the plastic zone in steel using a special etching technique





# Limit 2: Tip rounding





## Limited resolution due to tip rounding

Area function with high accuracy needed

Minimum indentation depth for comparable hardness results: 20% of tip radius

A Berkovich tip has a typical tip radius between 100 – 300 nm







# Minimum film thickness for **hardness** measurements in dependence on tip radius (not for modulus)

Tip radius (nm)	Minimum film thickness (nm)
50	100
75	150
100	200
150	300
200	400
250	500
300	600





## Substrate influence on hardness













# Limit 3: Position of first plastic yielding





Von Mises stress field for a 50nm thin hard coating on glass in dependence on indenter radius

#### 1 µm tip radius

#### 0.2 µm tip radius







## Low depth measurements require an accurate

## **Determination of tip rounding and area function**





There is a permanent wear of the diamond tip. The tip status has to be checked regularly.



Tip check measurements on fused silica with several 100 measurements in between.



### Error due to incorrect tip area function in dependence on depth









#### **Determination of area function**

Direct method: AFM scan of the tips



Left: broken diamond tip

#### Right: new tip without defects





#### **Determination of area function**

Direct method: Measurement with metrological AFM Indirect method: Indentation measurements on homogeneous reference materials









Inadequate fit function with insufficient term number





## How to determine the real tip radius?

- $\rightarrow$  Elastic measurements on a hard reference material
- $\rightarrow$  Hertzian contact calculation



Measurement and fit curve of sapphire

Elastic – plastic transition force for a defect free Sapphire single crystal with strength of 40 GPa (maximum)

Tip radius (nm)	Transition force (μN)
50	31
75	68
100	120
150	280
200	490
250	760
300	1100

# Zwick Roell

Advanced surface mechanics







Solutions for ultra thin coatings

- 1) Modulus measurement
- 2) Hardness (yield strength) measurement





#### **Solution 1: Ultra sharp tips, ultra low forces**













# For highly accurate results two corrections are necessary



Zero point correction

Thermal drift correction





## **Solution 2 for modulus measurements:**

## **Extrapolation to zero depth**

The quasi continuous stiffness measurement (QCSM) method is used to generate enough data over depth





#### ISO 14577 Part 4

In the case of soft/ductile coatings, indentation force or displacement and indenter geometry shall be chosen such that data shall be obtained in the region where  $a/t_c < 1,5$ . The plane strain indentation modulus of the coating  $E_c^*$  is obtained by taking a series of measurements at different indentation depths and extrapolating a linear fit to plane strain indentation modulus vs.  $a/t_c$  to zero, see Figure 4.



#### Key

- 1 spherical indenter
- 2 Berkovich indenter
- 3 Vickers indenter





#### QCSM method of ASMEC Quasi continuous stiffness measurement







#### Example: equal SiO<sub>2</sub> coatings on glass and sapphire



**260nm** oxide coatings on sapphire and glass substrates, maximum force 18mN

First point at (20 nm; 0,24 mN).





## **Solution 3 for modulus measurements:**

## Eliminating the substrate influence for fully elastic measurements with spheres by application of the Hertzian contact model for coatings







Fit of the measurement data with a theoretical load-displacement curve. Known substrate properties; fit parameter: film modulus

Useable software ELASTICA, FilmDoctor







#### Thin coatings with 80 GPa on substrate with 165 GPa Theoretical force-displacement curve







Measured curves for SiO2 films on Si using a 6.5  $\mu$ m radius indenter at 40mN Maximum depth difference < 3.5 nm

# Zwick Roell





Source: IWS, Dresden

Acoustic method Measures: Young's modulus Needs: film density and thickness

Contact methodsMeasures:Indentation modulusNeeds:film thickness and substrate properties

AFAM measurements done at Fraunhofer Institute, IzfP, Dresden











## **Example: Indentation modulus M for Si(100)**







## **Example: nano-thin films of TiAl**



Samples prepared at Fraunhofer Institute, IPMS, Dresden





## AFAM vs. Nanoindentation

Sample	Film thickness t, nm	AFAM M <sub>f</sub> , GPa	Nanoindentation M <sub>f</sub> , GPa
	5	92	90
Titanium	10	84	88
aluminide	15	79	79
	20	82	82

The values of the indentation modulus obtained by use of the AFAM method agree very well with those obtained by nanoindentation!

Nanoindentation measurements performed and analyzed by Dr. T. Chudoba, Asmec, GmbH





## **Solution 4 for modulus and hardness measurements:**

## Eliminating the substrate influence for elastoplastic measurements with sharp tips by application of a sophisticated contact mechanical model





## 198.4nm - SiO2 film on Silicon substrate

## von Mises Stress (GPa)









Comparison between measurements and calculations for force range 0.3mN -10mN







Comparison between measurements and calculations for force range 0.3mN -10mN





## **Solution 5 for hardness (yield strength) measurements:**

Determining yield strength instead of hardness using micro scratch tests and stress calculations





#### 240 nm thick optical coatings on sapphire

Three 50mN und 700mN micro scratch tests over each other



The two coatings show different failure modes





It was not possible to resolve hardness differences with a conventional tip (radius abut 200nmm)







The difference between pre-scan and post-scan of the surface allows detection the elastic-plastic transition







Yielding starts in the coating since the substrate was hard enough for these samples

## Yield strength results

Sample number	Fcrit mN	Ļ	Yield strength GPa
479	6.89	0.061	6.97
486	6.60	0.067	6.5
488	8.30	0.078	7.4
489	8.49	0.088	7.64
531	12.75	0.110	8.79
532	131.79	0.069	20.8
485/sapphire	8.93	0.065	6.31
485 /glass	31.92	0.057	6.25
Sapphire	171.500	0.084	27.7



#### Von Mises stress profile for sample 479





## Thank you for your attention !







## Nanoindentation: state of the art



Maximum force F, displacement h and the unloading stiffness S are used for the calculation of hardness H and modulus E.

The contact area  $A_c$  has to be calculated from the indentation depth. This requires a model.